From: drupal\_admin <drupal\_admin@epa.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, September 06, 2016 1:44 PM

To: HarborComments
Subject: Harbor Comments

Submitted on 09/06/2016 3:44PM Submitted values are:

Your Name (b) (6)

Your Email: (b) (6)

Your Comments:

I have to say I am disappointed in the proposed plan, which follows option I, for a couple of reasons.

First, the plan only dredges a small amount of the contaminated area from the harbor, leaving large areas of contaminated sediment for future Portlanders to deal with. If we are going to clean up, we should do it right. I support option G for that reason.

Second, I am strongly opposed the the suggestion of permanently storing the toxic material in the river. The river is already a toxic waste dump, and the whole point of the cleanup is to remove the pollution from the river. I want my neighborhood of St. Johns to be cleaned of the pollution and not made into a hazardous waste repository. We already have functioning hazardous waste repositories in Arlington and elsewhere, which are furthermore much less prone to seismic and flood events.

I hope that EPA will reconsider on these two points.

(b)